

From training to transformation: closing the implementation gap in LMIC leadership

Ehsan Movahed¹ and Ali Asghar Kheirkhah Vakilabad²

¹PhD, Associate Professor of Health Education and Health Promotion, Department of Public Health, School of Health, Jiroft University of Medical Sciences, Jiroft, Iran and Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Institute for Futures Studies in Health, Kerman University of Medical Science, Kerman, Iran

²MD, Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine Imam Khomeini Hospital, Jiroft University of Medical Sciences, Jiroft, Iran

Date submitted: 15-June-2026

Email: Ehsan Movahed (ehsanmovahed89@yahoo.com)

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Dear Editor,

I read with interest Bumb and Chaudhury's article on building public health leadership capacity in low- and middle-income countries, which includes nine initiatives and a three-pillar approach to learner-centric curriculum, adaptive training ecosystems, and multi-stakeholder engagement.¹ Their emphasis on contextual relevance and participatory methods align with current evidence that leadership ability is not merely an innate trait—but a teachable capability.

The authors mention resistance to change and resource limitations, but they do not fully address the key challenge of transitioning from leadership

Citation: Movahed E and Asghar Kheirkhah Vakilabad A. From training to transformation: closing the implementation gap in LMIC leadership development. *Educ Health* 2026;39:344-245

Online access: www.educationforhealthjournal.org
DOI: 10.62694/efh.2026.741

Published by The Network: Towards Unity for Health

training to sustained practice. Evidence from implementation science shows that without post-training reinforcement (such as peer coaching, workplace projects, and accountability structures), leadership skills decay rapidly.²

One practical solution to this is to integrate leadership development into existing supervision and performance review systems. For example, district health managers in LMICs could be required to demonstrate one leadership action (e.g., facilitating a team-based problem-solving session) per quarter, documented in a simple portfolio which is reviewed by their supervisors.³ Table 1 contrasts traditional versus implementation-focused approaches to leadership training.

Table 1: Comparison of traditional vs. implementation-focused leadership training in LMICs

Feature	Traditional approach	Implementation-focused approach
Training duration	Short, workshop-based (1–5 days)	Longitudinal (3–12 months) with spaced learning
Post-training support	None or optional	Structured peer coaching and workplace projects
Accountability	Attendance certificate	Demonstrated leadership behaviors assessed by supervisor
Integration with HR systems	Separate from performance reviews	Embedded into regular supervision and promotion criteria
Example from literature	Didactic sessions with case studies	Mentored action learning projects

Furthermore, the authors' reliance on the UTAUT and PLS-SEM methods (referenced elsewhere in the same journal issue) could be extended to measure post-training behavioral intention and actual use of leadership skills. A recent study from India found that only 34% of trained health workers applied leadership competencies at six months without ongoing support.⁴

We suggest adding a fourth pillar: post-training reinforcement systems that link leadership behaviors to routine supervision, team-based reflection, and measurable performance indicators. Without closing this loop, LMIC health systems risk investing in training that never translates into practice.

References

1. Bumb D, Chaudhury N. Building public health leadership capacity in LMICs: lessons from global initiatives for training innovation. *Education for Health*. 2025;38:4. <https://doi.org/10.62694/efh.2025.388>
2. Vandergoot S, Sarris A, Kirby N, Harries J. Individual and organizational factors that influence transfer generalization and maintenance of managerial-leadership programs. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*. 2020;33: 207–246. <https://doi.org/10.1002/piq.21323>
3. Prashanth NS, Marchal B, Kegels G, Criel B. Advancing systems thinking in health: a realist evaluation of a capacity-building programme for district managers in Tumkur, India. *Health Research Policy and Systems*. 2014;12:42. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1478-4505-12-42>
4. Mohapatra A, Kaur J, Mukherjee R, Aravind RS, Deshmukh V, Bassi S, Swain S, Wig R, Kumar S, Arora NK. An exploratory-descriptive analysis of training programs for leadership in health research and services in India. *Indian Journal of Public Health*. 2024;68:243–50. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijph.ijph_762_23